# Organocatalytic Redox Isomerization of Electron-Deficient Allylic Alcohols: Synthesis of 1,4-Ketoaldehydes

Keshab Mondal, Buddhadeb Mondal, and Subhas Chandra Pan\*

Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, North Guwahati, Assam 781039, India

# **Supporting Information**

**ABSTRACT:** An organocatalytic redox isomerization strategy has been developed for the synthesis of 1,4-ketoaldehydes. DABCO was found to be the best catalyst for the isomerization of  $\gamma$ -hydroxy enones. With 20 mol % of DABCO as catalyst and DMSO as the solvent high yields have been achieved for different 1,4-ketoaldehydes.

O ne of the current challenges in organic chemistry is to develop reactions that provide products with high levels of efficiency, selectivity, and atom economy.<sup>1</sup> Isomerization reactions are considered one of the perfect atom economic methods that do not generate byproducts. Redox isomerization is an intrinsically efficient process as it avoids external oxidants and reductants, and formation of unwanted byproducts is avoided.<sup>2</sup> The redox isomerization of readily available allylic alcohols to saturated carbonyl compounds is an attractive and atom-economic process that circumvents use of highly reactive and expensive reagents and also reduces the number of protection-deprotection steps often required for such transformations (Scheme 1).<sup>3</sup>





A variety of transition metal complexes have been utilized for the transformations of allylic alcohols to ketones, aldehydes and 1,4-diketones.<sup>3</sup> Interestingly, literature survey did not reveal the synthesis of 1,4-ketoaldehydes using this strategy. In addition, organocatalytic redox isomerization<sup>4,5</sup> of allylic alcohols, an attractive alternative strategy, has been less studied. Previously, few acid- and base-catalyzed redox isomerization of  $\gamma$ -hydroxy enones to 1,4-diketones have been reported.<sup>6</sup> Taylor et al. also observed formation of 1,4-diketones and 1,4-ketoaldehydes during the reaction of 1,2-dioxines and phosphorus ylide though lower yields (~30%) were observed for 1,4ketoaldehydes.<sup>7</sup> Miles and co-workers disclosed DABCO catalyzed isomerization of 6-hydroxy-2*H*-pyranones to 1,2,5triketones.<sup>8</sup> Realizing the potential of 1,4-ketoaldehydes as important building blocks,<sup>9</sup> we embarked in a redox isomer-



ization strategy for the synthesis of 1,4-ketoaldehydes (Scheme 1).

We started our investigation by stirring 3-benzoylprop-2-en-1-ol  $(1a)^{10}$  in DMSO at 60  $^\circ C$  with various organic base catalysts (Table 1). As can be seen, 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]-



Ph 1	OH (20 mol%) (20	Ph 2a H
entry <sup>a</sup>	catalyst	yield <sup>b</sup> (%)
1	DBU	0
2	Et <sub>3</sub> N	45
3	DIPEA	52
4	TMG	0
5	pyridine	0
6	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	0
7	DMAP	71
8	DABCO	93
9 <sup>c</sup>	DABCO	95

"Reaction conditions: 0.2 mmol of 1a in 1.0 mL of solvent using 20 mol % of catalyst. <sup>b</sup>Isolated yield after silica gel column chromatography. <sup>c</sup>Reaction temperature 90 °C and reaction time 5 h.

undec-7-ene (DBU) could not promote the isomerization reaction after 20 h (entry 1). Pleasingly, a moderate yield (45%) of the isomerized product 3-benzoylpropanal (2a) was obtained with Et<sub>3</sub>N (entry 2). A small improvement in the yield was observed with DIPEA (entry 3). However, 1,1,3,3-tetramethylguanidine (TMG), pyridine, and inorganic base such as potassium carbonate ( $K_2CO_3$ ) failed to react under identical conditions (entries 4–6). Gratifyingly, DMAP

Received:February 9, 2016Published:May 10, 2016

provided the product 2a in a better yield of 71% (entry 7). Finally, the best catalyst turned out to be 1,4diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (DABCO), which afforded 93% yield of the product 2a (entry 8). The yield was further enhanced to 95% by running the reaction at higher temperature and the reaction time was shortened (entry 9). A lower yield (89%) was observed with 10 mol % of the catalyst. Other solvents were also screened, but DMSO was found to be the best solvent (see the Supporting Information for details).

Having identified the optimized conditions, we ventured toward the scope and generality of the reaction. Initially, different  $\gamma$ -hydroxy aryl enones were prepared and treated under the reaction conditions (Table 2). It turned out that the

Table 2.	Substrate	Scope:	$\alpha$ -Unbranched	Enone
----------	-----------	--------	----------------------	-------

(%)							
<sup><i>a</i></sup> Reaction conditions: 0.2 mmol of <b>1</b> in 1 mL of solvent using 20 mol $\frac{1}{2}$ of DARCO <sup><i>b</i></sup> Isolated yield after silics cal column characterization.							
) 1 1							

reaction is quite general for a variety of electron-rich and electron-poor aryl enones. Substitutions at the ortho, para, and meta positions of the phenyl group are also well tolerated. 4-Methyl-substituted aryl enone provided 90% yield of the product 2b (entry 2). A similar yield was observed with 4isopropyl-substituted aryl enone (entry 3), but a lower yield was obtained with 4-tert-butyl-substituted aryl enone (entry 4). Consequently, 4-methoxy-substituted aryl enone 1e was found to be the best substrate, providing the product 2e in 99% yield (entry 5). Then 4-halo-substituted aryl enones were examined under the reaction conditions, and the desired products were obtained in acceptable yields (entries 6-8). While 4-chloroand 4-fluoro-substituted enones provided similar yields, 4bromo-substituted enone 1h afforded an enhanced yield of 92% (entry 8). Aryl enones having meta-substitutions were then prepared and subjected to the reaction conditions. Excellent yield (98%) was obtained with 3-methoxyaryl enone 1i (entry 9). 3-Chloro-substituted and 3-methyl-substituted aryl enones afforded products 2j and 2k in moderate yields (entries 10 and

11). Interestingly, ortho-substitution on the aryl group also did not change the outcome of the reaction, and a good yield of 82% was attained with 2-methyl-substituted aryl enone 11 (entry 12). 1-Naphthyl-substituted enone 1m was also engaged in the reaction, and good yield of 75% was achieved for the desired product 2m (entry 13). 4-Biphenyl-substituted enone **In** provided product **2n** in a much higher yield of 95% (entry 14). Interestingly, 2,4-dimethylphenyl-substituted keto aldehyde 20 was obtained in 97% yield after the treatment of the corresponding enone (entry 15). Then, a heteroaromatic group was incorporated in the enone moiety, and product 2p was isolated in 89% yield (entry 16). Finally, aliphatic enones were examined under the reaction conditions, and gratifyingly, the products are obtained in good yields although higher reaction times were required (entries 17 and 18). Enone 1g having hydrocinnamyl moiety provided product **2q** in 65% yield (entry 17), whereas a higher yield of 77% was achieved for the cyclohexyl-substituted product 2r (entry 18). Finally, enone 1s having an isovaleryl moiety was used in our reaction, and a good yield of 80% was obtained (entry 19).

The next phase of experiments concerned the preparation and screening of different  $\alpha$ -substituted enones. After some experiments were performed, it was found that the  $\alpha$ -methyl group could be tolerated in this reaction. Thus, a variety of  $\alpha$ methyl enones (1t-x) was prepared and engaged in the reaction (Table 3, entries 1-5). In general, longer reaction

|--|

	$Ar \xrightarrow{\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ R^1 \end{array}} R^1$	_OH _( DM	DABCO 20 mol% 1SO (0.2 90 °C	) Ar M)	$R^2$ $R^1$ $H$ <b>2</b>	<u>_</u> 0
entry <sup>a</sup>	Ar	$\mathbb{R}^1$	$\mathbb{R}^2$	time (h)	2	yield <sup>b</sup> (%)
1	Ph	Me	Н	48	2t	51
2	3-ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Me	Н	30	2u	67
3	4-ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Me	Н	30	2v	62
4	$4-BrC_6H_4$	Me	Н	48	2w	59
5	$4-MeC_6H_4$	Me	Н	72	2x	47
6	Ph	Н	Me	30	2y	48
7.0	1	a <b>a</b>	1		1 .	

<sup>*a*</sup>Reaction conditions: 0.2 mmol of **1** in 1 mL of solvent using 20 mol % of DABCO. <sup>*b*</sup>Isolated yield after silica gel column chromatography.

times were required for significant conversion to the products. Product **2t** was isolated in 51% yield after 48 h (entry 1). Substitutions at the *meta* and *para* positions of the aryl group did not alter the fate of the reaction. Moderate yields were achieved for 3- and 4-chloro-substituted aryl ketoaldehydes **2u** and **2v** (entries 2 and 3). Product **2w** having a 4-bromo-substituted aryl group was isolated in a similar yield of 59% (entry 4). 4-Methyl-substituted aryl ketone **1x** was found to undergo isomerization slowly, and product **2x** was attained in 47% yield after 72 h (entry 5). This indicates that electron deficiency of the double bond is important for the rate of the reaction for  $\alpha$ -substituted substrates. Pleasingly, our method is also applicable for  $\beta$ -methyl-substituted enones such as **1y**, providing a moderate yield of 48% for the product **2y** (entry 6).

To understand the mechanism of our reaction, kinetic experiments were performed using 1a in DMSO- $d_6$  at 90 °C, and the conversions were determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectros-copy (Figure 1a,b). The rate of the reaction was found to be second order overall (Figure 1a) and first order with respect to



Figure 1. (a) Percent conversion vs time. (b) Initial reaction rate vs ln [DABCO].

DABCO (Figure 1b). Thus, the rate-determining step involves one molecule of 1 and one molecule of DABCO in the transition state.

To gain further insight into the mechanism of our redox isomerization reaction, we performed a deuterium incorporation experiment with 1a. After treatment of 1a with 20 mol % of DABCO in DMSO- $d_6/D_2O$  (16:1),<sup>5b</sup> the exclusive formation of 2,3- $d_2$ -2a was observed (Scheme 2, eq 1).<sup>11</sup> This result eliminated the possibility of 1,2-hydride shift from C4 to C3 induced by the 4-OH deprotonation by DABCO as in this case product 3-d-2a will be formed (eq 2). Similarly, any mechanism involving the abstraction of 4-H of 1a by DABCO was also ruled out since in this case the resulting enolate would be quenched by more acidic protonated DABCO ( $pK_a = 9$  in DMSO) than H<sub>2</sub>O (or D<sub>2</sub>O;  $\bar{p}K_a = 32$  for H<sub>2</sub>O in DMSO)<sup>5b,12</sup> as was found to operate in the isomerization of secondary propargylic alcohol<sup>5b</sup> (eq 3). Thus, the remaining possibility was the conjugate addition by DABCO to the enone to generate intermediate 3 (eq 4). This intermediate would create 4 after quenching with  $D_2O_2$ 

An E2 elimination of DABCO from 4 assisted by the general base catalysis of another molecule of DABCO leaded to the formation of enol 5, which then tautomerized after abstracting a proton from residual  $H_2O$  or a deuterium from  $D_2O$  to afford  $2,3-d_2-2a$ .

In summary, we have developed a facile synthesis of 1,4ketoaldehydes by redox isomerization strategy. DABCO was found to be the best catalyst for this purpose. The 1,4ketoaldehyde motifs are difficult to obtain, and our method will be very useful in natural product synthesis and drug discovery.

# **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

General Information. All reactions were carried under air in ovendried glassware with magnetic stirring. Organic solvents were dried



over anhydrous  $Na_2SO_4$  and concentrated in a rotary evaporater under reduced pressure. For column chromatography, silica gel (60–120 mesh size) were used. For TLC analyses precoated silica gel plates were used.

<sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy: 400 MHz (at 298 K) and 600 MHz. Chemical shifts,  $\delta$  (in ppm), are reported in ppm relative to TMS  $\delta$ (<sup>1</sup>H) 0.0 ppm,  $\delta$  (<sup>13</sup>C) 0.0 ppm, which was used as the inner reference with multiplicity (s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, m = multiplet). Otherwise, the solvents residual proton resonance and carbon resonance (CHCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$  (<sup>1</sup>H) 7.26 ppm,  $\delta$  (<sup>13</sup>C) 77.23 ppm were used for calibration. IR: spectra were recorded on an FT-IR Instrument at normal temperature making KBr pellet grinding the sample with KBr (IR grade). Mass spectra were recorded on a Q-TOF mass spectrometer. Melting points were obtained with a Mel-Tem capillary melting point apparatus and are uncorrected.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of  $\alpha$ -Unbranched trans- $\gamma$ -Hydroxy Enones 1a–s.  $\alpha$ -Unbranched trans- $\gamma$ -hydroxy enones were prepared according to the reported procedure.<sup>10b,13</sup>

To a stirred solution of  $SnCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O(1.5 \text{ equiv})$  and KI (3 equiv) in  $H_2O$  were added allyl bromide and aldehydes, satd aqueous  $NH_4Cl$  solution was added, and the resulting solution was stirred for 5 h at room temperature to obtain the homoallylic alchol.  $\beta_i\gamma$ -Unsaturated ketone was obtained by PCC (1.5 equiv) oxidation. Then  $\beta_i\gamma$ -epoxy ketone was prepared from  $\beta_i\gamma$ -unsaturated ketone using *m*-CPBA (1.1 equiv). Finally, ring opening occurred to afford the *trans-* $\gamma$ -hydroxyenones.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of  $\alpha$ -Branched *trans-\gamma*-Hydroxy Enones 1t–y.  $\alpha$ -Branched *trans-\gamma*-hydroxy enones were prepared according to the reported procedure.<sup>10a</sup>

To a stirred solution of the appropriate stabilized keto ylide (1.2 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was added glycoaldehyde dimer (1 mmol), and the resulting solution was heated under reflux for 3 h. The solution was cooled and the solvent evaporated in vacuo. The product was purified by silica gel column chromatography.

Ylides were prepared by reacting the appropriate haloalkanone with triphenylphosphine and deprotonating with 2 N NaOH solution.<sup>14,15</sup>

# The Journal of Organic Chemistry

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Products 2a–y. In a 10 mL round-bottom-flask were combined compound 1 (0.2 mmol) and DABCO (20 mol %), and 1 mL of DMSO solvent was added. The round bottom flask was sealed with a glass stopper and placed in a heating block at 90 °C. After completion of the reaction, as determined by TLC, the reaction mixture was allowed to cool at room temperature and diluted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with water and brine and dried ( $Na_2SO_4$ ). The solvents were concentrated in vacuo and purified by silica gel column chromatography (15% EtOAc/hexane) to afford the title compound 2.

4-Oxo-4-phenylbutanal (2a). This compound was prepared according to the general procedure. Reaction was completed after 5 h. Analytical TLC on silica gel, 15% ethyl acetate/hexane ( $R_f = 0.55$ ). Brown liquid (31 mg, yield: 95%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  9.91 (s, 1H), 7.99 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.58 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (t, J = 4.8 Hz, 2H), 3.33 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H), 2.94 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  200.8, 198.0, 136.7, 133.6, 128.9, 128.3, 37.9, 31.3. FT-IR (thin film): 1716, 1685, 1597, 1449, 1364, 1240, 983, 759, 691 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (+APCI): calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>11</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 163.0754, found 163.0748.

4-Oxo-4-p-tolylbutanal (**2b**). This compound was prepared according to the general procedure. Reaction was completed after 3.5 h. Analytical TLC on silica gel, 15% ethyl acetate/hexane ( $R_f = 0.57$ ). Brown solid (32 mg, yield: 90%). Mp: 45–47 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  9.91 (s, 1H), 7.89 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.27 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 3.31 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.93 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.42 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  200.9, 197.6, 144.3, 134.2, 129.5, 128.4, 37.8, 31.1, 21.9. FT-IR (thin film): 1709, 1681, 1607, 1382, 1239, 1182, 977, 875, 814, 548 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (+ESI): calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>13</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 177.0910, found 177.0908.

4-(4-Isopropylphenyl)-4-oxobutanal (2c). This compound was prepared according to the general procedure. Reaction was completed after 5 h. Analytical TLC on silica gel, 15% ethyl acetate/hexane ( $R_f = 0.60$ ). Yellow oil (36 mg, yield: 88%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  9.91 (s, 1H), 7.92 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.32 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 3.31 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.95–3.00 (m, 1H), 2.93 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 1.27 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  200.9, 197.7, 155.1, 134.5, 128.5, 126.9, 37.8, 34.4, 31.1, 23.8. FT-IR (thin film): 1722, 1685, 1607, 1460, 1419, 1362, 1243, 1182, 1055, 981, 830 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (+APCI): calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>17</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 205.1223, found 205.1213.

4-(4-Tert-butylphenyl)-4-oxobutanal (2d). This compound was prepared according to the general procedure. Reaction was completed after 4.5 h. Analytical TLC on silica gel, 10% ethyl acetate/hexane ( $R_f$  = 0.50). Brown liquid (29 mg, yield: 66%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 9.90 (s, 1H), 7.93 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.48 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 3.31 (t, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 2.92 (t, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 1.34 (s, 9H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 201.1, 197.7, 157.3, 134.0, 128.2, 125.8, 37.8, 35.3, 31.3, 31.1. FT-IR (thin film): 1720, 1682, 1605, 1407, 1364, 1247, 1192, 1108, 1018, 986, 828 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (+APCI): calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>19</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 219.1380, found 219.1372.

4-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-4-oxobutanal (2e). This compound was prepared according to the general procedure. Reaction was completed after 6 h. Analytical TLC on silica gel, 18% ethyl acetate/hexane ( $R_f = 0.52$ ). Pale yellow oil (38.5 mg, yield: 99%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCI<sub>3</sub>): δ 9.91 (s, 1H), 7.97 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 6.94 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.28 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H), 2.91 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCI<sub>3</sub>): δ 201.1, 196.5, 163.9, 130.6, 129.7, 114.0, 55.7, 37.9, 30.9. FT-IR (thin film): 1720, 1675, 1601, 1575, 1511, 1419, 1363, 1246, 1212, 1171, 1028, 834 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (+ESI): calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>13</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 193.0859, found 193.0857.

4-(4-Fluorophenyl)-4-oxobutanal (2f). This compound was prepared according to the general procedure. Reaction was completed after 3 h. Analytical TLC on silica gel, 12% ethyl acetate/hexane ( $R_f = 0.53$ ). Colorless oil (27 mg, yield: 75%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  9.89 (s, 1H), 8.00 (dd, J = 5.1, 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.13 (t, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 3.28 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H), 2.92 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  200.7, 196.4, 166.0 (d, J = 103.4 Hz), 133.1 (d, J = 3 Hz), 130.9 (d, J = 9.3 Hz), 115.9, 37.8, 31.1. FT-IR (thin film): 1721, 1685, 1597, 1506, 1410, 1363, 1233, 1209, 1158, 986, 838 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

HRMS (+ESI): calcd for  $C_{10}H_{10}FO_2$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 181.0659, found 181.0660.

4-(4-Chlorophenyl)-4-oxobutanal (2g). This compound was prepared according to the general procedure. Reaction was completed after 3 h. Analytical TLC on silica gel, 12% ethyl acetate/hexane ( $R_f = 0.52$ ). Brown solid (31 mg, yield: 79%). Mp: 51−53 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  9.90 (s, 1H), 7.92 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.45 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 3.28 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H), 2.94 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H);. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  200.5, 196.8, 140.0, 134.9, 129.7, 129.2, 37.8, 31.1. FT-IR (thin film): 1710, 1682, 1589, 1400, 1244, 1207, 1091, 983, 833 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (+ESI): calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>10</sub>ClO<sub>2</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 197.0364, found 197.0363.

4-(4-Bromophenyl)-4-oxobutanal (2h). This compound was prepared according to the general procedure. Reaction was completed after 3 h. Analytical TLC on silica gel, 12% ethyl acetate/hexane ( $R_f$  = 0.53). White solid (44 mg, yield: 92%). Mp: 59–61 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 9.90 (s, 1H), 7.85 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.62 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 3.29 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.95 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 200.6, 197.0, 135.3, 132.2, 129.8, 128.7, 37.7, 31.1. FT-IR (thin film): 1713, 1681, 1582, 1407, 1296, 1202, 1067, 998, 822, 715, 666 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (+ESI): calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>10</sub>BrO<sub>2</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 240.9859, found 240.9858.

4-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-4-oxobutanal (2i). This compound was prepared according to the general procedure. Reaction was completed after 4.5 h. Analytical TLC on silica gel, 18% ethyl acetate/hexane ( $R_f$  = 0.51). Pale yellow oil (38 mg, yield: 98%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 9.90 (s, 1H), 7.57 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (s, 1H), 7.38 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.12 (dd, *J* = 2.4, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.31 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.93 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 200.8, 197.8, 160.0, 137.9, 129.8, 120.9, 119.9, 112.5, 55.6, 37.8, 31.3. FT-IR (thin film): 1720, 1685, 1596, 1576, 1487, 1431, 1293, 1263, 1169, 1045, 788 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (+APCI): calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>13</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 193.0859, found 193.0858.

4-(3-Chlorophenyl)-4-oxobutanal (2j). This compound was prepared according to the general procedure. Reaction was completed after 3 h. Analytical TLC on silica gel, 12% ethyl acetate/hexane ( $R_f = 0.54$ ). Pale yellow oil (29 mg, yield: 74%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 9.89 (s, 1H), 7.95 (s, 1H), 7.86 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 3.29 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.94 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 200.4, 196.8, 138.2, 135.2, 133.4, 130.2, 128.4, 126.4, 37.7, 31.3. FT-IR (thin film): 1717, 1689, 1572, 1420, 1237, 1206, 1018, 997, 869, 789, 680 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (+ESI): calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>10</sub>ClO<sub>2</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 197.0364, found 197.0363.

4-Oxo-4-m-tolylbutanal (2k). This compound was prepared according to the general procedure. Reaction was completed after 4 h. Analytical TLC on silica gel, 15% ethyl acetate/hexane ( $R_f = 0.60$ ). Brown liquid (24 mg, yield: 68%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 9.91 (s, 1H), 7.78 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.34–7.40 (m, 2H), 3.32 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.93 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.41 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 200.9, 198.2, 138.7, 136.7, 134.3, 128.8, 128.7, 125.5, 37.9, 31.3, 21.6. FT-IR (thin film): 1722, 1685, 1603, 1587, 1362, 1255, 1161, 875, 785 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (+ESI): calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>13</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 177.0910, found 177.0900.

4-Oxo-4-o-tolylbutanal (21). This compound was prepared according to the general procedure. Reaction was completed after 3.5 h. Analytical TLC on silica gel, 15% ethyl acetate/hexane ( $R_f = 0.59$ ). Pale yellow oil (29 mg, yield: 82%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  9.90 (s, 1H), 7.73 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.25–7.29 (m, 2H), 3.23 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H), 2.91 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H), 2.49 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  201.9, 200.8, 138.5, 137.5, 132.2, 131.7, 128.8, 125.9, 38.1, 33.9, 21.5. FT-IR (thin film): 1718, 1686, 1456, 1384, 1237, 1207, 1018, 977, 760 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (+ESI): calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>13</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 177.0910, found 177.0915.

4-(Naphthalen-5-yl)-4-oxobutanal (2m). This compound was prepared according to the general procedure. Reaction was completed after 2.5 h. Analytical TLC on silica gel, 15% ethyl acetate/hexane ( $R_f$  = 0.50). Brown oil (32 mg, yield: 75%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  9.95 (s, 1H), 8.58 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.01 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.97

(d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 7.88 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.50–7.55 (m, 2H), 3.39 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.02 (t, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  202.2, 200.9, 135.6, 134.1, 133.1, 130.3, 128.6, 128.2, 127.9, 126.7, 125.9, 124.6, 38.3, 34.5. FT-IR (thin film): 1717, 1677, 1595, 1509, 1394, 1235, 1178, 1104, 940, 805, 773 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (+ESI): calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>13</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 213.0910, found 213.0912.

4-(Biphenyl)-4-oxobutanal (2n). This compound was prepared according to the general procedure. Reaction was completed after 5 h. Analytical TLC on silica gel, 15% ethyl acetate/hexane ( $R_f = 0.51$ ). Pale yellow solid (45 mg, yield: 95%). Mp: 93–95 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 9.93 (s, 1H), 8.06 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.70 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.63 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.48 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.41 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.37 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H), 2.97 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 200.9, 199.6, 146.2, 140.0, 135.3, 129.1, 128.9, 128.8, 127.6, 127.4, 37.8, 31.2. FT-IR (thin film): 1711, 1680, 1448, 1405, 1384, 1245, 1197, 981, 766, 724, 690 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (+APCI): calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>15</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 239.1067, found 239.1068.

4-(2,4-Dimethylphenyl)-4-oxobutanal (20). This compound was prepared according to the general procedure. Reaction was completed after 4 h. Analytical TLC on silica gel, 15% ethyl acetate/hexane ( $R_f$  = 0.59). Brown liquid (37 mg, yield: 97%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 9.90 (s, 1H), 7.68 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.07 (d, *J* = 11.4 Hz, 2H), 3.24 (t, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 2H), 2.89 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.48 (s, 3H), 2.35 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 201.1, 200.9, 142.5, 139.2, 134.3, 133.2, 129.4, 126.6, 38.2, 33.6, 21.9, 21.6. FT-IR (thin film): 1720, 1680, 1611, 1566, 1448, 1234, 1206, 1140, 981, 814 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (+APCI): calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>15</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 191.1067, found 191.1068.

4-Oxo-4-(thiophene-2-yl)butanal (**2p**). This compound was prepared according to the general procedure. Reaction was completed after 2 h. Analytical TLC on silica gel, 15% ethyl acetate/hexane ( $R_f = 0.45$ ). Brown oil (30 mg, yield: 89%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  9.88 (s, 1H), 7.77 (d, J = 4.2 Hz, 1H), 7.65 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 7.14 (t, J = 4.2 Hz, 1H), 3.26 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.93 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  200.5, 190.9, 143.7, 134.0, 132.3, 128.4, 37.8, 31.7. FT-IR (thin film): 1723, 1662, 1518, 1416, 1246, 1054, 928, 854, 728 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (+ESI): calcd for C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>9</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 169.0318, found 169.0319.

4-Oxo-6-phenylhexanal (**2q**). This compound was prepared according to the general procedure. Reaction was completed after 10 h. Analytical TLC on silica gel, 12% ethyl acetate/hexane ( $R_f = 0.52$ ). Brown liquid (25 mg, yield: 65%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  9.79 (s, 1H), 7.28 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.19 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 3H), 2.91 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.80 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.77–2.74 (m, 2H), 2.71–2.68 (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  207.9, 200.6, 141.0, 128.7, 128.5, 126.3, 44.4, 37.6, 35.0, 29.9. FT-IR (thin film): 1712, 1599, 1435, 1409, 1263, 1100, 877, 751, 700 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (+ESI): calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>15</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 191.1067, found 191.1066.

4-Cyclohexyl-4-oxobutanal (2r). This compound was prepared according to the general procedure. Reaction was completed after 20 h. Analytical TLC on silica gel, 15% ethyl acetate/hexane ( $R_f = 0.55$ ). Pale yellow oil (26 mg, yield: 77%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 9.80 (s, 1H), 2.73–2.77 (m, 4H), 2.39 (t, J = 11.1 Hz, 1H), 1.88 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 2H), 1.78 (d, J = 13.2 Hz, 2H), 1.23–1.37 (m, 5H), 1.20 (t, J = 12.6 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 212.1, 200.9, 50.9, 37.6, 32.8, 28.7, 26.0, 25.8. FT-IR (thin film): 1705, 1632, 1448, 1386, 1146, 1018 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (+ESI): calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>17</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 169.1223, found 169.1223.

6-Methyl-4-oxoheptanal (2s). This compound was prepared according to the general procedure. Reaction was completed after 24 h. Analytical TLC on silica gel, 15% ethyl acetate/hexane ( $R_f = 0.49$ ). Yellow oil (23 mg, yield: 81%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 9.80 (s, 1H), 2.72 (d, J = 16.8 Hz, 4H), 2.33 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.18–2.10 (m, 1H), 0.91 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 208.7, 200.7, 51.9, 37.6, 35.4, 24.9, 22.7. FT-IR (thin film): 1712, 1468, 1386, 1368, 1170, 1140, 1080, 1033, 872 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (+APCI): calcd for C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>15</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 143.1067, found 143.1068.

3-Methyl-4-oxo-4-phenylbutanal (2t). This compound was prepared according to the general procedure. Reaction was completed

after 48 h. Analytical TLC on silica gel, 15% ethyl acetate/hexane ( $R_f$  = 0.51). Colorless oil (18 mg, yield: 51%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  9.81 (s, 1H), 7.98 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 4.03–3.97 (m, 1H), 3.17 (dd, J = 18.3, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 2.62 (dd, J = 18.6, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 1.24 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  202.7, 200.7, 135.8, 133.4, 128.9, 128.7, 47.3, 35.3, 18.2. FT-IR (thin film): 1711, 1681, 1596, 1449, 1380, 1240, 1179, 979, 794, 704 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (+APCI): calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>13</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 177.0910, found 177.0909.

4-(3-Chlorophenyl)-3-methyl-4-oxobutanal (2u). This compound was prepared according to the general procedure. Reaction was completed after 30 h. Analytical TLC on silica gel, 15% ethyl acetate/hexane ( $R_f = 0.53$ ). Yellow oil (28 mg, yield: 67%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 9.78 (s, 1H), 7.94 (s, 1H), 7.85 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 3.95–3.89 (m, 1H), 3.19 (dd, J = 18.6, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 2.64 (dd, J = 18.6, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 1.22 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 201.5, 200.4, 137.5, 135.3, 133.3, 130.3, 128.8, 126.7, 47.3, 35.4, 18.0. FT-IR (thin film): 1726, 1685, 1570, 1239, 1198, 1075, 801, 736, 670 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (+ESI): calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>12</sub>ClO<sub>2</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 211.0520, found 211.0522.

4-(4-Chlorophenyl)-3-methyl-4-oxobutanal (2v). This compound was prepared according to the general procedure. Reaction was completed after 30 h. Analytical TLC on silica gel, 15% ethyl acetate/ hexane ( $R_f = 0.52$ ). Yellow oil (26 mg, yield: 62%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  9.79 (s, 1H), 7.92 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.45 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 3.98–3.89 (m, 1H), 3.18 (dd, J = 18.8, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 2.62 (dd, J = 18.8, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 1.22 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  201.5, 200.5, 139.8, 134.2, 130.1, 129.3, 47.3, 35.2, 18.1. FT-IR (thin film): 1724, 1681, 1590, 1488, 1459, 1401, 1238, 1092, 1013, 980, 842, 748, 525 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (+ESI): calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>12</sub>ClO<sub>2</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 211.0520, found 211.0522.

4-(4-Bromophenyl)-3-methyl-4-oxobutanal (2w). This compound was prepared according to the general procedure. Reaction was completed after 48 h. Analytical TLC on silica gel, 15% ethyl acetate/ hexane ( $R_f = 0.51$ ). Yellow oil (30 mg, yield: 59%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 9.78 (s, 1H), 7.84 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.62 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 3.95–3.89 (m, 1H), 3.17 (dd, J = 18.6, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 2.63 (dd, J = 18.6, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 1.22 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 201.7, 200.5, 134.7, 132.3, 130.2, 128.6, 47.3, 35.2, 18.1. FT-IR (thin film): 1713, 1681, 1585, 1397, 1071, 1010, 978, 838 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (+ESI): calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>12</sub>BrO<sub>2</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 255.0015, found 255.0017.

3-Methyl-4-oxo-4-p-tolylbutanal (2x). This compound was prepared according to the general procedure. Reaction was completed after 72 h. Analytical TLC on silica gel, 15% ethyl acetate/hexane ( $R_f$  = 0.54). Yellow oil (18 mg, yield: 47%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 9.80 (s, 1H), 7.88 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.28 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 3.99– 3.96 (m, 1H), 3.14 (dd, *J* = 18.3, 8.1 Hz, 1H), 2.60 (dd, *J* = 18.6, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 2.42 (s, 3H), 1.23 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 202.3, 200.8, 144.2, 133.3, 129.6, 128.8, 47.3, 35.3, 21.9, 18.3. FT-IR (thin film): 1724, 1680, 1608, 1456, 1381, 1261, 1183, 1019, 801, 747 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (+ESI): calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>15</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 191.1067, found 191.1065.

2-Methyl-4-oxo-4-phenylbutanal (2y). This compound was prepared according to the general procedure. Reaction was completed after 30 h. Analytical TLC on silica gel, 15% ethyl acetate/hexane ( $R_f$  = 0.51). Brown oil (17 mg, yield: 48%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 9.80 (s, 1H), 7.98 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.58 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (t, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 3.50 (dd, *J* = 18.0, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.16–3.10 (m, 1H), 3.26 (dd, *J* = 17.7, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 1.25 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 203.7, 199.0, 136.7, 133.6, 128.9, 128.3, 48.9, 39.6, 14.0. FT-IR (thin film): 1725, 1683, 1597, 1448, 1359, 1263, 1217, 1003, 913, 751, 690 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (+ESI): calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>13</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 177.0910, found 177.0912.

Spectral data for compound 2,3- $d_2$ -2a. This compound was prepared according to the general procedure. Reaction was completed after 5 h. Analytical TLC on silica gel, 15% ethyl acetate/hexane ( $R_f$  = 0.55). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  9.91 (s, 1H), 7.99 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.58 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 3.32(t, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 2.92 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ 

# The Journal of Organic Chemistry

200.9, 198.0, 136.6, 133.5, 128.9, 128.3, 37.4 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz), 30.9 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz). FT-IR (thin film): 1724, 1682, 1597, 1448, 1280, 1220, 753, 690 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (+ESI): calcd for  $C_{10}H_{19} D_2O_2 [M + H]^+$  166.0957, found 166.0953

#### ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.6b00243.

Optimization table and spectra of all the products (PDF)

# AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Author**

\* Email: span@iitg.ernet.in.

# Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by DST-SERB (file no EMR/2015/ 001034). We thank the Central Instruments Facility, Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, for the instrumental help.

### REFERENCES

(1) For reviews, see: (a) Trost, B. M. Science 1991, 254, 1471.
(b) Trost, B. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 259.
(c) Sheldon, R. A. Pure Appl. Chem. 2000, 72, 1233. (d) Sheldon, R. A. Green Chem. 2007, 9, 1273. (e) Newhouse, T.; Baran, P. S.; Hoffmann, R. W. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 3010.

(2) For selected reviews, see: (a) Burns, N. Z.; Baran, P. S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 2854. (b) Pan, S. C. Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2012, 8, 1374. (c) Peng, B.; Maulide, N. Chem. - Eur. J. 2013, 19, 13274. (d) Seidel, D. Acc. Chem. Res. 2015, 48, 317.

(3) For selected reviews, see: (a) Uma, R.; Crévisy, C.; Greé, R. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 27. (b) Gimeno, J.; Cadierno, V.; Crochet, P.; Garcia-Garrido, S. E. Curr. Org. Chem. 2006, 10, 165. (c) Cadierno, V.; Crochet, P.; Gimeno, J. Synlett 2008, 2008, 1105. (d) García-Àlvarez, J.; García-Garrido, S. E.; Crochet, P.; Cadierno, V. Curr. Top. Catal. 2012, 10, 35. For selected examples, see: (e) Cherkaoui, H.; Soufiaoui, M.; Grée, R. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 2379. (f) Larionov, E.; Lin, L.; Guénée, L.; Mazet, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 16882 and references cited therein.

(4) For selected recent reports on organocatalytic redox transformations, see: (a) Kang, Y.-K.; Richers, M. T.; Sawicki, C.; Seidel, D. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 10648. (b) Mantelingu, K.; Lin, Y.; Seidel, D. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 5910. (c) Ramakumar, K.; Tunge, J. A. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 13056. (d) Pahadi, N. K.; Paley, M.; Jana, R.; Waetzig, S. R.; Tunge, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 16626. (e) Saito, K.; Akiyama, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 3148. (f) Mori, K.; Ehara, K.; Kurihara, K.; Akiyama, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 6166. (g) Mao, H.; Wang, S.; Yu, P.; Lv, H.; Xu, R.; Pan, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 1167.

(5) For organocatalytic redox isomerization of secondary propargylic alcohols, see: (a) Sonye, J. P.; Koide, K. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 6254.
(b) Sonye, J. P.; Koide, K. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 199. For organocatalytic asymmetric olefin isomerization, see: (c) Wu, Y.; Singh, R. P.; Deng, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 12458. (d) Lee, J. H.; Deng, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 18209.

(6) (a) Isler, O.; Lindlar, H.; Montavon, M.; Rüegg, R.; Saucy, G.; Zeller, P. Helv. Chim. Acta **1956**, 39, 2041. (b) Wijnberg, J. B. P. A.; Jongedijk, G.; De Groot, A. J. Org. Chem. **1985**, 50, 2650. (c) Atasoy, B.; Balci, M. Tetrahedron **1986**, 42, 1461. (d) Nokami, J.; Nishimura, A.; Sunami, M.; Wakabayashi, S. Tetrahedron Lett. **1987**, 28, 649. (e) Jansen, B. J. M.; Kreuger, J. A.; De Groot, A. D. Tetrahedron **1989**, 45, 1447.

(7) Avery, T. D.; Taylor, D. K.; Tiekink, E. R. T. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 5531.

(8) Miles, W. H.; Gildner, P. G.; Ahmed, Z.; Cohen, E. M. Synthesis 2010, 2010, 3977.

(9) For selected recent uses of 1,4-ketoaldehydes such as 2a, see:
(a) Uyeda, C.; Jacobsen, E. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 9228.
(b) Zheng, P.; Gondo, C. A.; Bode, J. W. Chem. - Asian J. 2011, 6, 614.
(c) Lu, J.; Song, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Gan, Z.; Li, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 5367.
(d) Hasegawa, E.; Osawa, C.; Tateyama, M.; Miura, K.; Tayama, E.; Iwamoto, H. Heterocycles 2012, 86, 1211.
(e) Kumar, I.; Mir, N. A.; Ramaraju, P.; Singh, D.; Gupta, V.; Kant, R. RSC Adv. 2014, 4, 34548.

(10) For the synthesis of **1a**, see: (a) Greatrex, B. W.; Kimber, M. C.; Taylor, D. K.; Tiekink, E. R. T. *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 4239. (b) Sada, M.; Ueno, S.; Asano, K.; Nomura, K.; Matsubara, S. Synlett **2009**, *2009*, 724.

(11) Under the reaction conditions of DMSO/D<sub>2</sub>O (16:1) at 90 °C,  $2_{2}$ -(1.5 $d_{2}$ )-2a was obtained.

(12) See Evans' pK<sub>a</sub> table: http://evans.rc.fas.harvard.edu/pdf/ evans pKa table.pdf.

(13) Silva, F. P. L.; Cirqueira, M. L.; Martins, F. T.; Vasconcellos, M. L. A. A. J. Mol. Struct. 2013, 1052, 189.

(14) Borzęcka, W.; Lavandera, I.; Gotor, V. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 7312.

(15) Facchin, G.; Bertani, R.; Berton, A. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1988, 147, 165.